Forever Young: How to Control the Elongation, Differentiation, and Proliferation of Cells Using Nanotechnology
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Within the emerging field of stem cells there is a need for an environment that can regulate cell activity, to slow down differentiation or proliferation, in vitro or in vivo while remaining invisible to the immune system. By creating a nanoenvironment surrounding PC12 cells, Schwann cells, and neural precursor cells (NPCs), we were able to control the proliferation, elongation, differentiation, and maturation in vitro. We extended the method, using self-assembling nanofiber scaffold (SAPNS), to living animals with implants in the brain and spinal cord. Here we show that when cells are placed in a defined system we can delay their proliferation, differentiation, and maturation depending on the density of the cell population, density of the matrix, and the local environment. A combination of SAPNS and young cells can be implanted into the central nervous system (CNS), eliminating the need for immunosuppressants.
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INTRODUCTION

With the advent of nanotechnology we are entering a new era of tissue and organ reconstruction. Fine control of the nanodomain will allow for increased targeting of cell placement and therapeutic delivery, amplified by cell encapsulation and implantation. Small changes in the cellular environment can lead to the activation of the apoptotic pathway or even necrosis of the cells weeks after implantation.

The successful storage and implantation of stem cells poses significant challenges for tissue engineering in the nervous system. This is in addition to the challenges inherent to neural regeneration: formation of the glial scar that surrounds the lesions caused by traumatic brain injury (TBI) or stroke, and the cystic cavities in spinal cord injury (SCI) (12).

Scaffolds play a central role in organ regeneration (10). They act as a template and guide for cell proliferation, cell differentiation, and tissue growth, as well as a way to control the release of drugs at rates matching the physiological need of the tissue (31). The surface of the scaffold provides a substrate for cell adhesion and migration, which can influence the survival of transplanted cells or the invasion of cells from the surrounding tissue.

Although many promising strategies have been developed for controlling the release of drugs from scaffolds, there are still challenges to be addressed for these scaffolds to serve as successful treatments (23,45,56,59,60): (a) precise placement of the cells into the scaffold to prevent migration from the scaffold before it has been repopulated; (b) ability of the scaffold to allow cells to migrate into it, in order to reconstitute the tissue from the surrounding area; and (c) prevention of the acidic
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breakdown of the cell scaffold, which results in an adverse environment for cell growth.

Many types of scaffolds, utilizing a wide range of materials, have been used for the regeneration and repair of the nervous system (47,58). In treating TBI or SCI drug-delivering scaffolds may need to be combined with cell transplantation to obtain functional recovery (56).

**TYPES OF SCAFFOLDS**

**Natural Materials**

Natural materials can impart intrinsic signals within the structure that can enhance tissue formation (46). They include alginate (26,27,41), chitosan (6,13,14), collagen (1,34), fibrin (21,42–44,48–50), and hyaluron (18,19,24,52).

**Benefits.** Natural materials possess many properties that make them attractive for tissue applications. Many of these materials contain sites for cell adhesion, allowing for cell attachment. These materials also exhibit similar properties to the tissues they are replacing (55).

**Drawbacks.** Degradation by-products can be absorbed by the body and may cause pH changes around the implantation site, leading to necrosis and delayed apoptosis (55).

**Synthetic Biological Materials**

The next generation of cell scaffolds to emerge are designed self-assembling peptides that spontaneously form nanofibers (23,58–61), creating a scaffold-like tissue-bridging structure that provides a framework for axonal regeneration (9). They are formed through the assembly of ionic self-complementary peptides (22,58–61) and are designed by using alternating positive and negative L-amino acids that form highly hydrated scaffolds in the presence of physiological concentration salts (i.e., saline, tissue culture media, physiological solutions, or human body fluids such as cerebrospinal fluid) (22,59,60). Because these scaffolds assemble using weak ionic bonds and van der Waal’s forces the scaffolds are motile and able to disassemble and reassemble in the nanodomain, depending on the charge change.

**Benefits.** Synthetic biological material allows for high cell implantation densities and enables cells to migrate freely in and out of the scaffold and the surrounding tissue. The material can also be designed to match the modulus of the surrounding tissue (8). There appears to be no immune response when the material is either (a) prebuffered or (b) allows buffering by the surrounding tissue and liquid in the injury site (17). During breakdown there is no decrease of pH in the local environment.

**Drawbacks.** Making pure nanomaterials in large quantities can be difficult because the process involves putting molecules together one at a time. To do that with high fidelity, in bulk, is a technological challenge (unpublished observations).

**Challenges in Developing Cellular Therapies**

To successfully reconstruct tissues and organs, cellular therapies must integrate into the injury site. For CNS injuries regeneration is not just replacement but re-
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growth of the lost neuronal circuitry, followed by pro-
motion of plasticity of the spared and regenerated neu-
rons (9,56).

PC12 cells will change branching patterns and pro-
cess densities depending on the modulus of the scaffold. 
If the modulus is less than 10 pascals (Pa) branching 
decreases along with neurite density, whereas when the 
modulus is between 100 and 1000 Pa branching is more 
pronounced and the cells exhibit process outgrowth that 
is longer, with more cells expressing neurite markers 
(32,39).

Other issues associated with human embryonic stem 
cell lines include the use of culture systems that rely 
on serum or feeder cell layers. There is a need for the 
development of culture methods for human stem cells 
that involve chemically defined media (56). The end 
goal for cellular therapies would be to create cell lines 
for transplantation that do not require immune suppres-
sion of the patient (56).

Self-Assembling Nanofiber Scaffold (SAPNS)

We previously demonstrated that a self-assembling 
peptide nanofiber scaffold (SAPNS) (10), a solution 
containing a series of amino acids that flows into an area 
and self-assembles into a scaffold upon contact with any 
ionic solution, eliminated the formation of a scar, thus 
allowing the permissive environment (7) necessary for 
regeneration and functional return of behavior in the 
brain. We performed additional work where cells were 
first implanted in the material, then implanted into the 
spinal cord (17). The local environment is usually irreg-
ular and it is important for a self-assembled cellular 
scaffold to be able to conform to that environment. In 
an effective scaffold cells can be added after the material 
has flowed into the local area, or it can first be premixed 
into the solution and then the entire material injected 
into the area where it will conform to the irregular envi-
ronment.

Here we show the importance of placing cells into a 
defined system within a three-dimensional (3D) environ-
ment, allowing better control over growth and differenti-
ation in order to effectively mimic the extracellular ma-
trix both in vitro and in vivo.

This article will discuss: (a) the appropriate concen-
tration of material based on the type of cells being im-
planted; (b) determining if the material needs to be pre-
buffered, or if the cells need to be precultured in the 
material before it is then injected into the lesion area; 
(c) controlling the physical environment to make it more 
permissive for cell migration while at the same time 
slowing, or even arresting, the proliferation, elongation, 
and differentiation of the implanted cells; and (d) modu-
luation of the immune system with a unique physical en-
vironment around the implant site.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of the SAPNS Solution

The SAPNS solution was prepared by using 
RADA16-I dry powder (made in the Ellis-Behnke lab, 
MIT peptide lab, or purchased from BD) and mixed in 
an Eppendorf tube. The solution contained 5, 10, 20, or 
30 mg of RADA16-I powder in 1 ml of Milli-Q water 
(Millipore), mixed, then sonicated for 30 s and filtered; 
this produced 0.5%, 1%, 2%, or 3% SAPNS. We took 
extra care to ensure that the material was pure by mixing 
the powder into the liquid and letting it sit at room tem-
perature for 1 month. An indication of its purity was that 
it remained clear and odorless.

PC12 Cell Culture

PC12 cells were purchased and plated in 10-cm poly-
styrene dishes, grown in a mixture of Hams F12k me-
dium with 10% horse serum and 2.5% fetal bovine se-
rum (FBS). Penicillin and streptomycin were added 
along with 2 mM of L-glutamine and 1.5 g/L of sodium 
bicarbonate (32). Cells were used after passage six.

Cells were removed with trypsin-EDTA for transfer to 
the SAPNS. The SAPNS was prebuffered using the cul-
ture medium DMEM. The SAPNS at various concentra-
tions was placed in separate wells and DMEM was added 
and changed in 1-, 10-, and 30-min intervals. The phenol 
red in the culture medium was used as an indicator for 
a balanced pH condition. The cells were transferred to 
0.5%, 1%, or 2% SAPNS and implanted into the mate-
rial. On day 10 nerve growth factor (NGF) was added 
to assess the viability of the cell after the prolifer-
ation delay. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2.

Schwann Cells (SC)

The SCs were isolated as described elsewhere (35, 
57). Briefly, the sciatic nerves from adult green fluores-
cent protein (GFP) transgenic Sprague-Dawley rats [“green 
rat CZ-004” SD TgN (act-EGFP) OsbCZ-004] were dis-
associated and placed in culture dishes with DMEM/F12 
(Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco). When the 
outgrowth of migratory cells (predominantly fibroblasts) 
reached a near-confluent monolayer around the explants 
(about 7 days), the explants were transferred to new cul-
ture dishes with fresh medium. After three to five such 
passages (3–5 weeks) the cells that emerged from the 
explants were primarily SCs. The explants were then 
transferred to a 35-mm dish containing 1.25 µg/ml dis-
pase, 0.05% collagenase, and 15% FBS in DMEM/F12 
for incubation overnight at 37°C in 5% CO2. On the 
following day the explants were dissociated and the cells 
were plated onto poly-L-lysine (0.01%; Sigma, St. 
Louis, MO)-coated dishes in DMEM/F12 with 10%
FBS. Later the cultures were refed with the same medium supplemented with 20 µg/ml pituitary extract (Sigma) and 2 µM forskolin (Sigma) for dividing. When the SCs reached confluence they were rinsed in Ca²⁺- and Mg²⁺-free Hanks balanced salt solute ion (CMF-HBSS; Gibco) and briefly treated with 0.05% trypsin (Gibco) and 0.02% EDTA (Gibco) in CMF-HBSS. Cells were washed twice in DMEM/F12 with 10% FBS and transferred into new dishes at a density of 2 × 10⁶ cells per 100-mm dish. When the cells reached confluence again they were collected for transplantation.

**Neural Precursor Cells (NPC)**

The generation and characterization of embryonic NPC cultures have been previously described (11,25). The hippocampi of embryonic day 16 (E16) embryos of GFP-transgenic Sprague-Dawley rats were dissected in cooled CMF-HBSS and dissociated mechanically. The cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in DMEM/F12 supplemented with B27 supplement (2%, Gibco), N2 (1%, Gibco), epidermal growth factor (EGF, 20 ng/ml, Gibco), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, 20 ng/ml, Sigma), penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 µg/ml). The cells were adjusted to 1 × 10⁵ cells/ml and introduced into culture flasks. Half of the medium was replaced every 3 days. Typically the cells were mechanically dissociated approximately once each week and reseeded at approximately 1 × 10⁵ cells/ml and then implanted into SAPNS of 1%, 2%, and 3% concentrations. Pictures were then taken at 3, 5, 7, and 9 days. This was repeated in a non-GFP-expressing cell line and pictures were taken at 3, 5, 7, and 9 days.

**Schwann Cells in 3D Culture Within the SAPNS**

Before transplantation the SCs, obtained from the sciatic nerves of GFP rats, were cultured within 1% SAPNS scaffold. Briefly, the SCs were collected and finally adjusted to 5 × 10⁵ cells/µl. Then, 1 µl of the cell suspension was mixed with 9 µl of RADA16-I peptide; the mixture was gently and quickly plated to dish in DMEM/F12 with 10% FBS. The medium was changed at 1, 10, and 30 min after plating, and once every 3 days during the following days. The images of living cells were taken by two-photon confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM510 META, Jena, Germany).

**Young Animals**

In vivo applications to brain wounds were carried out by using 16 postnatal day 5 (P5) Syrian hamster pups, anesthetized with whole-body cooling. The scalp was opened, and the optic tract within the superior colliculus was completely severed with a deep knife wound through a slot cut in the cartilaginous skull, extending 1.5 mm below the surface, from the midline to a point beyond the lateral margin of the superior colliculus (9). Animals were kept alive 30 days after surgery. During surgery, eight animals were treated by injection into the brain wound of 10 µm of 1% SAPNS. Control animals with the same lesions included eight injected with 10 µm isotonic saline (9).

**Surgical Procedures**

Thirty-two adult Sprague-Dawley rats (220–250 g) were used in this project. The rats were divided into six groups: (1) SAPNS only, (2) uncultured SAPNS with SCs group 1, 4, and 8 weeks, (3) precultured SAPNS-SCs alone group 2 and 8 weeks. Group one had two wild-type rats; groups two and three had six for each time point. Rats were anesthetized with ketamine (80 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). A dorsal laminectomy on the sixth and seventh cervical vertebrae was performed. The dura was incised longitudinally and pulled laterally. A spinal cord dorsal column transection was made between C6 and C7, followed by the removal of 1 mm of dorsal column tissue. Ten microliters of 1% SAPNS solution was injected into the lesion site or an equal volume of the cultured mixture of SAPNS and SCs, respectively, was transferred from the culture dish into the lesion cavity. After treatment the dura was closed. The muscle layers and skin were also closed with a suture. Manual bladder expression was performed twice daily until recovery of the bladder reflex.

All surgical procedures were approved by the Committee for the Use of Live Animals in Teaching and Research at the University of Hong Kong and MIT animal care committee.

**RESULTS**

**In Vitro**

**Primary Neurons.** In a 3D environment of SAPNS PC12 cells appeared to become senescent, and in serum-free (SF) condition, did not divide for 3 days in 0.5% SAPNS concentration, or 5 days in 1% SAPNS. When the cells reached the boundary of the SAPNS they proliferated at the normal rate until they reached confluence and then stopped dividing. This process was repeated three times. Using 2% SAPNS concentration delayed proliferation of PC12 cells to day 7 in SF conditions. Care was taken during implantation of cells not to allow the culture medium to contact the cells during implantation in the culture. When NGF was added processes extended normally, but with a SAPNS concentration-dependent delay (Fig. 1). This was repeated in serum conditions; proliferation was only delayed 1 day past the
control in the 0.5% condition, however in the higher concentrations of 1% and 2% division was observed at days 3 and 5, respectively.

For SCs, at a concentration of 5,000 cells/µl, 3 days postimplantation in 1% SAPNS in vitro, no processes were observed. After 5 days processes were observed extending from the cell body. When SCs were implanted in 2% SAPNS, process extension was not observed until 5 days post-SAPNS implantation. Both conditions were in FBS. When the concentration of cells was increased

Figure 1. (a) PC12 cells grown in 2% SAPNS and SF conditions, (b) 2% SAPNS and fetal bovine serum (FBS), (c) 1% SAPNS and FBS. Nerve growth factor (NGF) was added at day 10. All pictures were taken at day 17 after fixation. Note the increase in branching in the serum condition (b, c) and the increase in branch size in the higher modulus material (b). Scale bar: 20 µm.

Figure 2. Number of processes per cell over time. All of the SF (black) conditions have less than four processes per cell, while the conditions with FBS (red) have greater than six processes by day 15. As the concentration of the material increases, the modulus of the material increases. Note: as the modulus increases so does the number of processes per cell over time. Circles, triangles, and squares represent 3%, 2%, and 1%, respectively. Error bars indicate SE.
Figure 3. Branches per process. The graph shows the percentage of processes that have branches in each of the conditions. Circles, triangles, and squares represent 3%, 2%, and 1%, respectively. Error bars indicate SE.

Figure 4. Branched processes per cell. Note that in the 1% and 3% SF conditions the number of branched processes is less than one. However, in 2% it reaches one. In all serum-added conditions the branched processes are over 1 after day 5 in the 1% and 2% serum-added conditions, and after day 7 in the 3% condition. Error bars indicate SE.
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cant differences. In SF conditions only 35% of the processes were branched by day 15 and the serum added had 58% of the total processes branched. If cells were plated in 2% it took longer, up to 5–7 days before observing processes emerge. The processes continued to grow up to 30 days.

In cells implanted in SAPNS at 3% concentration SF conditions reached a maximum of 3.5 ± 1 processes per cell by day 15 of culture. With serum added cells reached a maximum of 11.5 ± 1 processes per cell by day 12. Branching of the processes showed statistically significant differences. In SF conditions only 22% of the processes were branched by day 15; the serum added had 48% of the total processes branched by day 12.

In all SF conditions the number of branched processes per cell remained below 1. The serum-added conditions after day 5 had more than one branched process per cell. As the concentration of the SAPNS increased there was an increase in the number of branches in the serum conditions. The maximum number of branched processes per cell reached was 5.5 ± 1, in the serum-added condition 3% SAPNS condition at day 12 (Fig. 4).

In Vivo Brain. In P5 hamsters cells migrated into the 1% SAPNS implantation after transection in the superior colliculus in seven out of eight cases. All controls had cavities at 1 month survival in the location of the lesion (Fig. 5).

Figure 5. Parasagittal sections from animals 60 days postlesion and treatment. Arrows indicate the path and extent of knife cut. Animals sacrificed 30 days postlesion cases (a) is a saline control and (b) is an example of the peptide scaffold-treated animals. Note the large gap in (a). In the peptide scaffold treatment case (b) the gap is completely gone and tissue has reconnected across the injury site. All peptide scaffold solution-treated cases appear to have reconnected at the site of the lesion. Scale bar: 100 µm.

to 10,000 cells/µl, process formation was delayed to 5 days in the 1% SAPNS concentration.

Neural Precursor Cells. Cells implanted in SAPNS at 1% concentration in SF conditions reached a maximum of 3 ± 1 processes per cell by day 5 of culture. With serum added they reached a maximum of 9 ± 1 processes per cell by day 7. Branching of the processes showed statistically significant differences. In SF conditions only 28% of the processes were branched by day 15 and the serum added had 45% of the total processes branched (Figs. 2 and 3).

For cells implanted in SAPNS at 2% concentration, by day 9 of culture SF conditions reached a maximum of 3 ± 1 processes per cell. With serum added, by day 9 it reached a maximum of 8 ± 1 processes per cell. Branching of the processes showed statistically signifi-

DISCUSSION

The ability to keep the cells forever young during implantation is very important to the reconstitution of tissue or organs being replaced. In the emerging field of stem cells there is a need for an environment that can be tuned to the needs of the cells to slow down the differentiation or proliferation in vitro or in vivo while remaining invisible to the immune system. We have shown that when cells are placed into a defined system we can
delay their proliferation, differentiation, and maturation depending on the density of the cell population, density of the matrix, and the local environment. This is done in a 3D environment that allows the benefits of cell therapy to be more fully realized.

**Extracellular Matrix Mimic**

This set of experiments points to new ways of thinking about cell therapy and how the modulus and the breakdown of an implanted matrix affect the lesion and perilesion environment. Due to the porosity of this material at the nanoscale, the ability for T cells to migrate into the treated environment seems to be greatly reduced (8,9,17). The body does not look at this material as a foreign material; it actually treats the material as it would treat the extracellular matrix. This extracellular matrix mimic does some very interesting things, not only to the implanted cells, but also to the surrounding tissue, such as changing the interface between the tissue and the implant where a scar would normally form.

**Surface Interaction**

Using the analogy of inserting one’s hand into a bowl of rice, the rice looks solid but the little grains of rice move out of the way of the hand and then come back around the wrist. SAPNS appears to work in a very similar fashion; it does not covalently bond but is held together by weak ionic and van der Waal’s interactions. These interactions allow the material to self-assemble along the hydrophobic backbone, and hydrophilic fingers that stick out perpendicular to the direction of the fiber. The edges of the fiber are exposed and when a cell interacts with the fiber, it causes a destabilization of the nanodomain at the contact point with the fiber. The fiber disassembles to allow the cell to migrate into it, and then reassembles on the other side of the cell. An analogy would be: the cell’s charge opens the door, the cell walks through the door, and the door shuts behind it. Then the next door opens, and so forth.

Because this is a dynamic process, and not a perma-
ponent process, the assembly and disassembly of this material is very important for the controlled access to the environment. It is creating an area that is less dense, one that enables cells to migrate into this area. In early development the density of the ECM is much lower than in the adult stage. This differential density allows for migration in a directed fashion. The matrix dynamic can be modulated by the density and the motility of the local environment.

**Concentration-Dependent Response**

There also appears to be a concentration/modulus-dependant growth rate of the neural precursor cells when placed in culture. In the presence of serum we observe that the higher the modulus, the more overall processes per cell. In serum-free conditions we do not see the modulus-dependant component in NPCs. The SAPNS appears to slow the growth rate and differentiation of the cells, thus allowing them to acclimate to the environment. This will be very important when the immune system tries attacking the cells when placed in vivo.

The NPCs appear to grow, or not grow, depending on the addition of the serum. With serum, the NPCs exhibit a concentration-dependant change in the response rate: the higher the concentration of SAPNS, the tighter the matrix. The ability of the serum to diffuse into the SAPNS shows a concentration-dependant response. In the 3% SAPNS concentration the number and the branch formation of the processes from the cells is delayed until day 9; in the 2% concentration the response is seen on day 7. In the lowest concentration the response is seen at day 5. All of this, of course, is dependent upon the volume of the SAPNS and the surface area of the bolus. When implanted in vivo this is much more pronounced, due to the limited ability of any material to diffuse across the implant boundary. The higher the concentration of the SAPNS in vivo, the slower it will breakdown.

The control of proliferation in PC12 cells is cell–cell contact. When the cells grow to confluence they stop dividing. This appears to be the case when the cells are implanted into the SAPNS at different concentrations. This cell–cell mimic (SAPNS) controls the cell proliferation rate. The PC12 cells were able to grow normally after implantation in SAPNS. When NGF is added, normal transformation of the cells and neurite extension is observed. There are differences that need to be pointed out: (a) the higher the concentration of the SAPNS the slower the neurite extension; (b) with the increased modulus of the material, due to concentration, our results agree with Leach (32): the increase in density tends to have an increase in branches; and (c) after adding NGF the morphology of growth changes, depending on the modulus of the environment.

**Schwann Cells and NPCs**

There appears to be a similar reaction to the concentration of the material and the cell density that decreases proliferation and differentiation. The fundamental change in growth pattern gives us new directions for the manip-

---

**Figure 7.** Schwann cells implanted into the spinal cord at 1, 4, and 8 weeks after implantation into the spinal cord. Disassociated cells were mixed with 1% SAPNS and implanted immediately after removing part of the spinal cord. Note: at 4 and 8 weeks there are several cysts where the low pH of the material may have caused the cyst formation and an acid shock. This is very similar to that in other scaffolds during breakdown. The reduction in pH will cause cellular apoptosis. Scale bar: 500 µm.
ulation of the cell’s growth program and the local immune system. Because the body does not treat the SAPNS as a foreign material, it can be used in a fashion similar to an early development ECM that allows for the migration of support cells into a treated area, thus allowing for the reprogramming, delay of the growth program, or protection of the implanted cells. Also, by manipulating the concentration of the implanted cells, the environment of early development can be mimicked, and until the cells are signaled they will remain in stasis for an extended period.

\textit{pH Contribution}

There is also a pH contribution to the delay of the differentiation and growth of the cells. If the material is not buffered properly then there will be an acid shock; the cells that are in the implant of SAPNS will slowly disappear. This is shown in the spinal cord implant when the GFP SCs are mixed and implanted before they are buffered; the appearances of cysts are an indication of an acid shock.

In the first implant of SAPNS at 1% concentration into a spinal cord without prebuffering, healing of the cord was evident. The injection of the preassembled material was made into a cavity that had a mixture of blood and CSF that allowed for immediate buffering of the SAPNS. During subsequent implantations of added cells and SAPNS, the lesion site was free of blood and CSF and therefore did not allow for sufficient buffering of the local environment. Buffered implantations are shown in Figures 5, 6, and 8; unbuffered implantations are shown in Figure 7. When the SAPNS was injected into the lesion site of the hamster the existing CSF was sufficient for immediate buffering of the material. We did not see the acid response, where the cells die over time during breakdown, because the SAPNS does not break down acidically.

\textit{Serum Free}

The fact that cells can survive in SF condition is very beneficial because it provides much greater control over the medium chosen to grow the cells in vitro, before implanting them into a tissue. In culture, using disassociated hippocampal cells from embryonic animals, we observe that the branching rates are lower in the SF conditions, signaling that the growth and differentiation of the cells is more controlled temporally. In every cell type we have worked with SF branching is a fraction of what we found for the serum-added condition.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

By manipulating the cell density and SAPNS concentration we can control the nanoenvironment surrounding PC12 cells, Schwann cells, and NPCs, and thereby we can control the proliferation, elongation, differentiation, and maturation in vitro. We also extended the control of the nano environment using SAPNS to implants in the brain and spinal cord without cells. Finally, we showed that a combination of SAPNS and young cells can be transplanted into a mammal, eliminating the need for immunosuppressants.
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