Effects of corticosterone and amyloid-beta on proteins essential for synaptic function: Implications for depression and Alzheimer's disease
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A B S T R A C T

The relationship between Alzheimer's disease (AD) and depression has been well established in terms of epidemiological and clinical observations. Depression has been considered to be both a symptom and risk factor of AD. Several genetic and neurobiological mechanisms have been described to underlie these two disorders. Despite the accumulating knowledge on this topic, the precise neuropathological mechanisms remain to be elucidated. In this study, we propose that synaptic degeneration plays an important role in the disease progression of depression and AD. Using primary culture of hippocampal neurons treated with oligomeric Aβ and corticosterone as model agents for AD and depression, respectively, we found significant changes in the pre-synaptic vesicle proteins synaptophysin and synaptotagmin. We further investigated whether the observed protein changes affected synaptic functions. By using FM®4-64 fluorescent probe, we showed that synaptic functions were compromised in treated neurons. Our findings led us to investigate the involvement of protein degradation mechanisms in mediating the observed synaptic protein abnormalities, namely, the ubiquitin–proteasome system and autophagy. We found up-regulation of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation, and the preferential signaling for the autophagic–lysosomal degradation pathway. Lastly, we investigated the neuroprotective role of different classes of antidepressants. Our findings demonstrated that the antidepressants Imipramine and Escitalopram were able to rescue the observed synaptic protein damage. In conclusion, our study shows that synaptic degeneration is an important common denominator underlying depression and AD, and alleviation of this pathology by antidepressants may be therapeutically beneficial.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is characterized by progressive degeneration of the brain and its functions. As a result, AD patients present with memory deficits, cognitive decline, and diverse behavioral changes [1]. Neuropsychiatric symptoms are observed throughout the course of AD. They occur along the progression of disease and may even occur prior to significant decline in cognitive function [2]. Such symptoms include depression, apathy, agitation, and hallucinations. Combined with cognitive deterioration, these symptoms compound patient disability and caregiver burden [3].

AD is represented by two pathological hallmarks, namely β-amyloid (Aβ) plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). Studies have shown that abnormally folded Aβ and tau proteins trigger cascades of neurodegenerative processes, including dysregulation of cholinergic neurons, synaptic degeneration, neuroinflammation, autophagy, and apoptosis [1].

Depression is one of the neuropsychiatric symptoms frequently observed in AD. It is characterized by prolonged period of low mood, anhedonia, and changes in sleep and appetite. Prevalence of depression in AD patients ranges from 20 to 50%. Studies have also shown that depressive symptoms may precede cognitive decline [3,4].

A number of risk factors for depression in AD patients have been identified: family history of mood disorder in first-degree relatives,
past history of depression, female gender, and early onset of AD [5,6]. A history of depression itself has also been associated with increased risks of developing AD, especially among elderly women [5,7]. Several genetic risk factors have been identified to influence the development of depression and AD, including brain-derived neurotrophic factor genetic variation and being heterozygous for promoter region of interleukin-1β [8,9].

Several neurobiological mechanisms have been found to cause cellular damage leading to depression. They include hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis dysfunction, monoamine deficiency, neuroinflammation, and neuroplastic changes [10]. HPA axis dysfunction appears to be responsible for a great extent of the abnormalities observed in depression. HPA axis dysfunction results in prolonged release of the stress hormone, cortisol, which has been found to be elevated in depressed patients [11]. Cortisol binds to membrane mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors which allow for rapid modulation of synaptic transmission in the brain [12]. The hippocampus is of particular importance because it is rich in corticosteroid receptors, and structural changes in this brain region have been observed in depressed patients [13].

In the case of AD, high levels of corticotropin-releasing hormone and cortisol, secondary to HPA axis deregulation, have been found to increase the risk and rate of disease progression [14]. Adrenocortical hyperactivity was found to be associated with increased Aβ plaque deposition and NFT formation in an animal model of AD [15]. Furthermore, cholinergic dysfunction found in AD has been shown to suppress glial fibrillary acidic protein receptor feedback regulation of the HPA axis [16]. Prolonged release of cortisol and HPA axis activation is detrimental to the glial fibrillary acidic protein in hippocampus, which is one of the earliest areas to be affected in AD [17].

It appears that the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying depression are common in AD. For instance, dysregulation of neurotransmitter systems, neuroinflammation and changes in neuroplasticity have been observed in both disorders. Studies are beginning to demonstrate the role of such mechanisms linking depression and AD [18,19]. We are particularly interested in neuroplastic changes at the synapse, as they are sites of neuronal communication that are essential for proper brain functions including learning and memory [20].

In AD, altered expressions of synaptic proteins were found to occur early in the disease processes and synaptic degeneration was found to correlate best with cognitive decline [21–23]. Aβ has been shown to impair synaptic functions and spine structure in animal models of AD [24]. Furthermore, reduction of pre-synaptic vesicle proteins such as synaptophysin has been consistently observed in transgenic AD mouse models [25–27]. In conjunction with loss of pre-synaptic proteins, loss in post-synaptic proteins such as post-synaptic density 95 (PSD95) and glutamate receptor subunit GluR1 has also been demonstrated [28–30].

Synaptic disturbances have also been shown to be involved in several psychiatric disorders, including autism, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder [20,31]. Evidence suggests altered synaptic structure and functions in depression as well. Loss of hippocampal volume due to reduced neurogenesis has been observed in depressed patients [32,33]. Reductions in both neurogenesis and synaptogenesis levels have been shown in a chronic unpredictable mild stress rat model of depression [34]. In another stressed rat model of depression, dendritic spine loss was observed [35]. Furthermore, over-expression of pre-synaptic protein, piccolo, was found to induce depressive-like behavior in mice [36]. These studies provide evidence that stress is involved in altered synaptic functions, which in turn leads to the development of depression.

Several mechanisms are involved in the disruption of synaptic functions. They include alterations in brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and disrupted synaptic signaling pathways responsible for synaptic maturation, impaired synaptic mitochondrial dynamics, and oxidative stress induced variations in synaptic genes [37–39].

Taking into consideration the importance of synapses in maintaining proper brain function and the role of its dysfunction in psychiatric disorders, we hypothesize that synaptic degeneration could be a common link between depression and AD. Since various classes of antidepressants have been the mainstay of depression pharmacotherapy, we also hypothesize that they will be able to ameliorate signs of synaptic damage. This will provide a platform for future drug development to prevent synaptic degeneration in the treatment of depression in AD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture models

Primary culture of hippocampal neurons was used in this study (Supplementary Materials and methods). The hippocampal area was chosen because it is one of the affected areas in both depression and AD. The hippocampus plays important roles in memory consolidation and is one of the earliest affected areas in AD brains [40]. Moreover, the hippocampus contains a large number of glucocorticoid receptors, making it prone to chronic stress, which is highly implicated in depression [41]. Lastly, reduction in hippocampal volume has been observed in both disorders [33,42].

Oligomeric Aβ1-42 was used as a model agent to represent AD pathologies. Corticosterone (CORT), a rodent derivative of cortisol, was used as a model agent for depression. Both chemicals were used at a sub-lethal dosage to simulate early disease events.

Oligomeric Aβ was reconstituted in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Supplementary Materials and methods) and CORT (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was reconstituted in 100% ethanol. Both agents were diluted in culture medium to the desired concentration for treatment. The same concentration of anhydrous DMSO or 100% ethanol was diluted in culture medium as control.

To investigate the neuroprotective effects of antidepressants, neurons were pre-treated with Imipramine (Sigma-Aldrich) and Escitalopram (Lundbeck, Copenhagen, Denmark) for 1 h, and incubated in either oligomeric Aβ or CORT for 24 h. Imipramine and Escitalopram were dissolved in milli-Q water and further diluted in culture medium to the desired concentration for treatment.

2.2. Immunocytochemistry

For immunocytochemical staining, primary hippocampal neurons cultured on coverslips (Thermo Scientific) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in TBS for 7 min, and blocked with 10% bovine serum albumin for 1 h. Incubation of primary antibody was done for 1 h at room temperature at 1:400 dilution for the following antibodies: synaptophysin (Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA) and synaptotagmin (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA). Ubiquitin-48 (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), ubiquitin-63 (Millipore), and LC3-II (MBL International, Woburn, MA, USA) were incubated overnight at 4 °C at 1:400. Neurons were then incubated with secondary antibody (anti-rabbit or mouse, Alexa-fluor 488 or 568, 1:400, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) and mounted on microscope slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using ProLong® Gold antifade mounting medium (Invitrogen) and imaged using the LSM510-meta laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Images were analyzed using MacBiophotonics ImageJ [http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij].
2.4. FM®4-64 fluorescent probe

Five micromolars of FM®4-64 (Invitrogen) fluorescent probe was added to hippocampal neurons cultured on coverslips. The neurons were stimulated with 100 mM potassium chloride for 3 min to induce endocytosis. They were then washed with HBSS and incubated in the dye for 30 min at 37 °C and 5% CO2 for complete endocytosis. One group was fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min. The remaining neurons were then washed and stimulated again with 100 mM potassium chloride for 10 min to induce exocytosis. The second group of neurons was then fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min. The coverslips were mounted on microscope slides using ProLong™ Gold antifade mounting medium (Invitrogen), analyzed using the LSM510 confocal microscope and quantified using MacBiophotonics Imagej.

2.5. Western blot analysis

Total cell lysate was extracted by ice-cold lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM NaF, 20 mM Na2PO4, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholate, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (1 mM), protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. The lysate was then sonicated, centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was collected for analysis. Protein concentration was measured as directed in the protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Protein extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad). The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat milk (Bio-Rad) overnight. Primary antibodies for synaptophysin (Abcam) and synaptotagmin (Abcam) were incubated for 4 h at 12,000 dilutions and β-actin (Sigma) were incubated for 1 h at 1:5000. Western blots were subsequently incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 45 min. Bands were visualized on Biomax X-ray film using ECL spray (Upstate). Bands were quantified using MacBiophotonics Imagej.

2.6. Proteasome activity assay

Total cell lysate was harvested with ice cold lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaN3, 1 mM DTT, and protease inhibitor cocktail on ice and centrifuged for 10 min at 20,000 g. Supernatants were quantified in triplicate in black OptiPlate™ 96 well plates (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA). Background proteolytic activity was controlled for by incubation of duplicate samples with 20 μM MG132 (Boston Biochem, Cambridge, MA, USA) prior to incubation with fluorescent substrates. N-succinyl-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Suc-LVY-AMC) (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, USA) for chymotrypsin-like activity, butoxycarbonyl-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Boc-LRR-AMC) (Enzo Life Sciences) for trypsin-like activity, and benzoylcarboxyl-Leu-Val-Arg-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Z-LLE-AMC) (Enzo Life Sciences) for caspase-like activity were incubated with samples at 20 μM for 45 min at 37 °C. Fluorescence was read on a microplate reader (Perkin Elmer) at 390 nm excitation and 460 nm emission. Fluorescence activity of all three proteasome proteolytic sites was taken as the level of fluorescence measured after subtraction from background.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical comparison between two groups was determined by unpaired t-test. Data for multiple variable comparisons were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). For the comparison of significance, Tukey’s test was used as a post hoc test. The statistical program used was GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Results were expressed as mean ± standard error (SE) from at least three independent experiments.

3. Results

3.1. Oligomeric Aβ and CORT caused pre-synaptic damage

To investigate the effects of low-dose oligomeric Aβ and CORT on the pre-synaptic site, hippocampal neurons were treated with oligomeric Aβ or CORT at 0.5 μM for 24 h and immunocytochemical analyses were performed for synaptophysin and synaptotagmin. Primary hippocampal neurons were also transduced with CellLight™ synaptophysin-GFP for live-cell imaging. The number of immunoreactive positive puncta of synaptophysin and synaptotagmin was observed after treatment with 0.5 μM Aβ for 12 h (Fig. 1A, F & G). Protein expression of synaptophysin and synaptotagmin was reduced with 0.5 and 5 μM Aβ treatments by Western blot analysis (Fig. 1H–J). CORT induced aggregation of the synaptic proteins (Fig. 2A–D), without affecting the total protein expression level (Fig. 2E & F).

3.2. Oligomeric Aβ and CORT compromised synaptic functions

To investigate whether the observed pathological changes affected synaptic functions, we employed the FM®4-64 fluorescent probe, which is commonly used to examine endocytosis and exocytosis. Endocytosis is monitored by dye uptake and the subsequent increase in fluorescent intensity. Exocytosis is examined by dye release and the subsequent decrease in fluorescent intensity. Images shown in the figure represent dye uptake and release specifically at the neuritis (Fig. 3). Oligomeric Aβ and CORT treatments at 0.5 μM showed similar fluorescent intensities compared to control during dye uptake, indicating that endocytosis was unaffected (Fig. 3A & B). However, during exocytosis when the dye was supposed to be released (and the subsequent decrease in fluorescent intensity), the fluorescent intensity of the treated neurons remained at a high level (Fig. 3A & C), suggesting an impairment in exocytosis following oligomeric Aβ and CORT treatments.

3.3. Involvement of protein degradation mechanisms at the synapse

Since our results showed loss and aggregations of synaptic protein, we further investigated the mechanisms underlying the clearance of aggregated synaptic proteins. We found that oligomeric Aβ and CORT at 0.5 μM influenced ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation mechanisms, indicated by the increase in the immunoreactivity of ubiquitin-48 and ubiquitin-63 (Fig. 4A & D). Ubiquitin-63 was found to significantly co-localize with synaptophysin (Fig. 4A & C). Lactacystin, a proteasome inhibitor, served as a positive control for ubiquitin accumulation.

3.4. Corticosterone and oligomeric Aβ did not affect proteasome activity

Since oligomeric Aβ and CORT were found to induce an increased co-localization between synaptophysin with ubiquitin-63 but not with ubiquitin-48, the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway may not be the major pathway or mechanism being affected in oligomeric Aβ- and CORT-treated cells. Therefore, we went on to examine whether oligomeric Aβ and CORT treatments affect proteasome activity within our model. Our results found no significant changes in the chymotrypsin-like, trypsin-like and caspase-like activity of the proteasome in both treatment groups (Fig. 5).

3.5. Antidepressants were able to alleviate pathological changes of pre-synaptic proteins

Antidepressants that target the monoaminergic system have long been used in the treatment of major depressive disorder. Therefore, we investigated if they could alleviate the pre-synaptic damage exerted
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by oligomeric Aβ and CORT treatments. Results demonstrated that pre-treatment with 10 μM Imipramine or Escitalopram for 1 h was able to rescue the observed synaptic pathologies (Fig. 6). For oligomeric Aβ treated cells, immunofluorescent analysis of synaptophysin and synaptotagmin showed a significant increase in the number of positive puncta after pre-treatment with antidepressants (Fig. 6A–C). Pre-treatment with Imipramine or Escitalopram was able to reduce the number of synaptic protein aggregations in CORT-treated neurons (Fig. 6D–F).

4. Discussions

Depression and AD share common pathophysiological characteristics including synaptic degeneration [43]. Synaptic degeneration is reflected by pathological changes within the molecular components of pre- and post-synaptic compartments [20]. Our results showed damages in the pre-synaptic compartment, which was found to affect the recycling of synaptic vesicles and ultimately the function of the synapse. Next, we found that ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation mechanisms appeared to be responsible for the clearance of aggregated synaptic proteins, which may mediate the degenerative processes. Furthermore, we found that antidepressants were able to alleviate the observed pre-synaptic damage.

4.1. Synaptic damage

Our results showed that the pre-synaptic compartment was damaged after treatment with oligomeric Aβ and CORT. Pre-synaptic protein aggregation was observed after 12 h of treatment with Aβ, and protein loss was observed at 24 h (Fig. 1). Although these changes were present, the dosage used did not significantly affect cell viability or induce apoptosis (Supplementary Figs. 1 & 2). With CORT, pre-synaptic protein aggregation but not protein loss was observed 24 h after treatment (Fig. 2). These observations suggest that pre-synaptic proteins undergo aggregation, followed by loss of proteins. Since there was no significant change in PSD95 following treatment with Aβ and CORT (Supplementary Figs. 3 & 4), it appeared that pre-synaptic damage occurred before post-synaptic damage. There is a possibility that PSD95 could be affected after 24 h; however, longer treatment durations were not investigated. Despite the temporal differences in the occurrence of the synaptic pathologies, the pre-synaptic damage induced by CORT resembled that induced by oligomeric Aβ.

Our next question was whether the observed morphological changes would affect synaptic functions. Synaptic vesicles undergo trafficking, docking, fusion to the synaptic membrane, and ultimately the release of neurotransmitters. After neurotransmitter release, empty synaptic vesicles either recycle back to the releasable pool or fuse with the endosome where mature vesicles subsequently bud off [44]. The FM4-64 fluorescent probe is commonly used to label synaptic vesicles for live-cell imaging of synaptic function [45]. Upon neuronal stimulation, the synaptic vesicles will release the dye through exocytosis. The decrease in dye intensity over a period of time indicates the rate of exocytosis. Our results showed that after treatment with oligomeric Aβ and CORT, dye exocytosis was slower (Fig. 3). These results indicate that the observed pathological changes affect synaptic functions by delaying exocytosis and perhaps the release of neurotransmitters.

4.2. Protein degradation and the synapse

To further elucidate the mechanisms underlying the synaptic protein damages, protein degradation mechanisms were investigated. The major cellular protein degradation pathways are the ubiquitin–proteasome system and the autophagy–lysosomal pathway. Ubiquitin appears to be a common denominator in targeting unwanted proteins for degradation by both pathways. Also, ubiquitinated proteins are consistently present in protein aggregates found in many neurodegenerative diseases [46].

Ubiquitination involves a cascade of enzymes including ubiquitin-activating enzymes (E1), conjugating enzymes (E2), and ligases (E3) [47]. E1 binds to ubiquitin and ATP to form a complex. Ubiquitin is then passed on to E2. Ubiquitin-charged E2 then forms a complex with an E3 ligase and a protein substrate. Ubiquitin is then transferred onto the protein substrate. Studies have shown that if the protein substrate is linked to the lysine 48 residue of ubiquitin, the substrate is signaled for degradation by the proteasome. On the other hand, if the polyubiquitin chain is formed by the linkage of the substrate to the lysine 63 residue, the substrate is preferentially degraded by the lysosome [48].

Our results showed that there was an increase in ubiquitin-48 and -63 immunoreactivities in both Aβ and CORT treated groups (Fig. 4). At the synaptic level, our findings support previous studies that investigate the crucial involvement of protein degradation mechanisms in maintaining proper synaptic functions. Ubiquitin has been known to function locally at the synapse [49] and several ubiquitin related enzymes have been found to regulate synaptic functions [50]. Furthermore, several synaptic proteins are substrates for ubiquitin, including synaptophysin [51].

Our results also showed that ubiquitin-63 significantly co-localized with synaptophysin for both treatment groups, while ubiquitin-48 did not (Fig. 4). Pathological accumulation of autophagic vacuoles has been observed in AD brains [52,53]. Up-regulation of the autophagy–lysosomal degradation pathway has been shown to increase production and accumulation of intracellular Aβ [54]. Our observations suggest that up-regulation of this degradation pathway is also associated with CORT induced toxicity, providing another evidence for the similarities between depression and AD.

Higher levels of co-localization between ubiquitin-63 and synaptophysin after treatment with Aβ and CORT would suggest increased activation of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation that preferentially signals for autophagy–lysosomal degradation pathway. Treatment with CORT or Aβ did not induce changes in any of the three proteolytic activities of the proteasome (Fig. 5), supporting the notion that following treatment, the autophagy–lysosomal pathway may play a more prominent role compared to the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway.

To further elucidate the activation of the autophagic pathway, we performed immunocytochemical analysis for LC3-II, which is a marker for autophagosomes [55] (Supplementary Fig. 5). LC3-II is a protein located in the inner and outer membrane of autophagosomes. Ubiquitinated proteins at lysine 63 recruit the LC3-interacting protein p62. p62 is then recognized by LC3-II. Protein substrate tagged with ubiquitin-63 and CORT would suggest increased activation of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation that preferentially signals for autophagy–lysosomal degradation pathway. Treatment with CORT or Aβ did not induce changes in any of the three proteolytic activities of the proteasome (Fig. 5), supporting the notion that following treatment, the autophagy–lysosomal pathway may play a more prominent role compared to the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway.

To further elucidate the activation of the autophagic pathway, we performed immunocytochemical analysis for LC3-II, which is a marker for autophagosomes [55] (Supplementary Fig. 5). LC3-II is a protein located in the inner and outer membrane of autophagosomes. Ubiquitinated proteins at lysine 63 recruit the LC3-interacting protein p62. p62 is then recognized by LC3-II. Protein substrate tagged with ubiquitin-63 and CORT would suggest increased activation of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation that preferentially signals for autophagy–lysosomal degradation pathway. Treatment with CORT or Aβ did not induce changes in any of the three proteolytic activities of the proteasome (Fig. 5), supporting the notion that following treatment, the autophagy–lysosomal pathway may play a more prominent role compared to the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway.

To further elucidate the activation of the autophagic pathway, we performed immunocytochemical analysis for LC3-II, which is a marker for autophagosomes [55] (Supplementary Fig. 5). LC3-II is a protein located in the inner and outer membrane of autophagosomes. Ubiquitinated proteins at lysine 63 recruit the LC3-interacting protein p62. p62 is then recognized by LC3-II. Protein substrate tagged with ubiquitin-63 and CORT would suggest increased activation of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation that preferentially signals for autophagy–lysosomal degradation pathway. Treatment with CORT or Aβ did not induce changes in any of the three proteolytic activities of the proteasome (Fig. 5), supporting the notion that following treatment, the autophagy–lysosomal pathway may play a more prominent role compared to the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway.

Fig. 1. Low-dose oligomeric Aβ induced aggregation of pre-synaptic proteins at 12 h and loss of pre-synaptic proteins at 24 h. A & D) Treatment with 0.5 μM Aβ for 24 h caused a reduction in the number of immunoreactive puncta of synaptophysin and synaptotagmin while aggregations of these proteins were observed after 12 h treatment. Live-cell imaging of primary hippocampal neurons transduced with CellLight® synaptophysin-GFP also showed reduction in immunoreactive positive puncta. Images were taken at a 63× objective (scale bar 10 μm). B, C, E, F, G) Quantitative analysis of the number of puncta and aggregations was performed by MacBiophotonics ImageJ. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired t-test. * represents p < 0.05 compared to the corresponding control. H) Western-blot analysis of pre-synaptic proteins showed reduced protein levels. I) Quantitative Western blot analysis by MacBiophotonics Image J. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. * represents p < 0.05 compared to the corresponding control.
Fig. 2. Low-dose CORT induced aggregation of pre-synaptic proteins. A) Staining for synaptotagmin and synaptophysin showed that treatment with 0.5 μM CORT for 24 h induced protein aggregations. Live-cell imaging of primary hippocampal neurons transduced with CellLight® synaptophysin-GFP also showed pre-synaptic protein aggregations under the same conditions. Images were taken at a 63× objective (scale bar 10 μm). B–D) Quantitative analysis of the immunoreactivity was performed by MacBiophotonics ImageJ. The amount of aggregation of synaptophysin and synaptotagmin after treatment was found to be significantly increased. Aggregations were defined by size of the puncta over number of puncta. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired t-test. * represents p < 0.05 compared to the corresponding control. E) Western-blot analysis showed no change in protein expression levels of synaptic proteins after treatment with 0.5 and 5 μM CORT for 24 h. F) Quantitative analysis of Western-blot was performed by MacBiophotonics ImageJ. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test.
demonstrated that increased activation of the ubiquitin-mediated autophagy–lysosomal degradation pathway induced by either CORT or Aβ, which may contribute to the pathological changes in pre-synaptic proteins and its functions.

The role of protein degradation at the synapse is to maintain its plasticity; however, the imbalance in the degradation process may disturb synaptic functions [57]. Protein degradation mechanisms are important in maintaining proper synaptic function and its dysfunction could have detrimental results in the synapse. Although the involvement of ubiquitinated proteins and autophagy has been widely investigated in AD pathology, it is not well studied in depression. This study suggests the possible importance of its role in the pathology of depression. Since modulation of the autophagy–lysosomal protein degradation pathway has been shown to lead to numerous neurodegenerative diseases, its involvement in depression provides new insight as to why depressed patients may develop neurodegenerative diseases such as AD later on in life.

4.3. Antidepressants are able to alleviate pre-synaptic protein damages

According to our findings, synaptic degeneration may be an upstream pathological process in depression in AD. Our results demonstrated that the selected antidepressants were able to alleviate the observed synaptic damage. The use of various antidepressants has long been used in treating depressive disorders [58]. Several classes of antidepressants are available, including tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) and the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [59,60]. Several studies have shown that SSRIs are able to improve cognition and depressive symptoms in both animal models and AD patients [5,61,62]. However, a recent clinical trial of the SSRI sertraline, and the noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant mirtazapine failed to show any effects over placebo in the treatment of depression in AD patients [63].

We selected one of the “classical” TCAs imipramine and the newer SSRIs escitalopram for our study to investigate whether
these antidepressants would be able to protect neurons from the toxic effects induced by Aβ and CORT. Our study showed that both antidepressants could attenuate the loss or aggregation of synaptic proteins (Fig. 6). Interestingly, imipramine and escitalopram are two different classes of antidepressants, with imipramine being non-specific, while escitalopram being highly specific to the serotonergic system. This suggests that the modulation of serotonin uptake transporters may not be the only underlying mechanism involved. It would be interesting to further investigate other possible underlying pathways of how antidepressants affect synaptic proteins.

It is important to note that the pathogenesis of depression and AD does not only involve synaptic degeneration. Although the present study has shown that synaptic degeneration and ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation mechanisms are involved in depression and AD, other factors including neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, and neurotransmitter dysregulation cannot be excluded. Since we have utilized an in vitro disease model for this study, we were only able to investigate the effects of CORT as a model for depression and oligomeric Aβ as a model for AD. Other factors involved in each disorder were not investigated including monoamine deficiency for depression and NFT for AD.

Depression appears to share similar neurodegenerative processes as AD. We have provided significant evidence to show that synaptic degeneration is a common pathological feature of both depression and AD. The observed synaptic protein loss and aggregation appeared to be associated with the ubiquitin-mediated autophagy-lysosomal pathway. Since the involvement of this pathway is not well studied in depression, our study provides new insight into the pathology of depression and further supports the idea that similar molecular neuropathology exists between depression and AD. Moreover, our observation that antidepressants were able to alleviate the synaptic pathologies, implicates that the prevention of synaptic degeneration may be pivotal in the early therapeutic intervention of these two disorders and further investigations are highly warranted.
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**Fig. 4.** Low-dose oligomeric Aβ and CORT induced ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation mechanisms. A) Primary hippocampal neurons were examined for synaptophysin and ubiquitin-48 immunoreactivity. Increase in the number of positive ubiquitin-48 puncta and co-localization with synaptophysin (indicated by arrows) was observed. Lactacystin at 10 μM served as a positive control. B) Quantification of the immunoreactivity was performed by MacBiophotonics ImageJ. There was a significant increase in number of puncta after treatment. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. * , ** & *** represent p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001 compared to the corresponding control. C) Manders’ coefficient for co-localization analysis showed no significant increase in the co-localization level in the treated group, except in the lactacystin treated neurons. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. * represents p < 0.05 compared to the corresponding control. D) Primary hippocampal neurons were examined for synaptophysin and ubiquitin-63 immunoreactivity. Increase in the number of ubiquitin-63 puncta and co-localization with synaptophysin (indicated by arrows) was observed. Lactacystin-treated cells served as a positive control. E) Quantification of the immunoreactivity was performed by MacBiophotonics ImageJ. There was a significant increase in number of puncta after treatment. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. * , ** & *** represent p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001 compared to the corresponding control. F) Manders’ coefficient for co-localization analysis showed a significant increase in the co-localization level of synaptophysin and ubiquitin-63 in the treated group. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. * , ** & *** represent p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, respectively, compared to the corresponding control. Images were taken at a 63× objective (3× digital zoom; scale bar 10 μm).

**Fig. 5.** Low-dose oligomeric Aβ and CORT did not mediate changes in proteasome activity. Primary hippocampal neurons were treated with 0.5 μM Aβ and CORT and analyzed for proteasome activity using a fluorescence activity assay. No significant changes in the chymotrypsin-like, trypsin-like, and caspase-like activities of the proteasome were found after treatment with low dose oligomeric Aβ and CORT. Results were expressed as fold of control ± SE from 4 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey’s test.

- Chymotrypsin-like
- Trypsin-like
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Fig. 6. A) Antidepressants Imipramine and Escitalopram were able to alleviate the observed synaptic pathology at low-dose oligomeric Aβ treatment. Primary hippocampal neurons were pre-treated for 1 h with Imipramine or Escitalopram. Immunostaining of synaptophysin and synaptogamin showed an increase in number of puncta. Plus signs indicate antidepressant pre-treatment followed by oligomeric Aβ treatment. B & C) Quantification of immunostaining was performed by MacBiophotonics ImageJ. Results showed that the number of puncta β-amyloid accumulation in AIP mutant neurons reduces PSD-95 and GluR1 in synapses, Neurobiol. Dis. (2005) 18–20.
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